


# The Best B-schools: A Numerical Analysis


# **My Consideration Set**

A <b>li</b> 		t	E	Young xecutives	Functional Heads
427	97	84	76	78	92
73	75	73	58	80	78
66	78	74	49	68	58
56	65	62	43	59	50
46	39	39	50	55	47
41	46	44	34	41	37
37	24	39	38	46	39
31	40	36	18	28	32
30	19	39	30	31	34
28	30	27	20	33	29
23	24	26	20	18	25
	427 73 66 56 46 41 37 31 30 28	Current MBAs   427  97   73  75   66  78   56  65   46  39   41  46   37  24   31  40   30  19   28  30	Current MBAs       427     97     84       73     75     73       66     78     74       56     65     62       46     39     39       41     46     44       37     24     39       31     40     36       30     19     39       28     30     27	Current MBAS         HR Heads           427         97         84         76           73         75         73         58           66         78         74         49           56         65         62         43           46         39         39         50           41         46         44         34           37         24         39         38           31         40         36         18           30         19         39         30           28         30         27         20	Current MBAS     Executives       427     97     84     76     78       73     75     73     58     80       66     78     74     49     68       56     65     62     43     59       46     39     39     50     55       41     46     44     34     41       37     24     39     38     46       31     40     36     18     28       30     19     39     30     31       28     30     27     20     33

Figures, except base, are in per cent and represent proportion of respondents that would consider joining or recruiting from a particular B-school



Figures, except base, are in per cent and represent proportion of respondents that would recommend a particular B-school



# **Brand Equity: The Eight Attributes That Matter**

#### Reputation

- Ranking of school
- Knowledge of subject
- Toughest admission exam
- Achievements of alumni
- International recognition

### Infrastructure

- High speed internet connectivity
- Library and computer facilities
- Financial assistance
- Location
- High standard hostelry

#### **Placement**

- 100 per cent placement
- Multiple placement options

#### **Faculty**

- Quality of research
- Visiting professors
- Training

#### **Specialisation**

- Known for marketing programme
- Known for mass media programme
- Known for finance programme

#### **Quality of Placement**

- Highest average salary
- Global placement opportunities

#### **Teaching Methodology**

- Student faculty ratio
- Teaching methodology
- Counselling
- Industry exposure


### **Admission Eligibility**

- Work experience
- Exclusively for engineers

GRAPHICS BY KULDEEP BHARDWAJ



## **India's Best B-School Brands**








Performance: Young Executive	IIM-A	IIM-B	IIM-C	XLRI I	Symbiosis Pune	s, FMS, De <b>l</b> hi I	IIM-L JE	BIMS, Mum	IIM-I bai	ICFAI, Hyd
Reputation	86	68	80	67	59	74	69	44	66	34
Ranking	67	50	61	50	57	68	57	29	53	29
Toughest admission exam	92	82	87	83	65	79	78	53	84	53
Knowledge of subject	83	61	83	63	52	79	61	47	47	35
Achievements of alumni	88	71	91	54	61	58	70	35	58	29
International recognition	96	64	83	67	61	79	70	53	74	35

Figures in per cent are proportion of respondents who associate a school with the given parameter

### Consideration Drivers: Young Executives

Performance:		IIM-B		XLRI		FMS, De	lhi Ji	3IMS, Mum	ıbai	ICFAI, Hyd
HR Heads	IIM-A		IIM-C		Symbiosis Pune	'	IIM-L		IIM-I	
Reputation	93	76	86	87	72	70	63	68	85	59
Ranking	76	64	74	67	59	44	43	47	70	35
Toughest admission exam	100	88	91	96	77	83	81	79	95	75
Knowledge of subject	92	72	91	88	68	72	67	68	85	55
Achievements of alumni	100	68	83	88	73	67	52	63	80	60
International recognition	96	80	87	96	82	83	71	84	95	70

Figures in per cent are proportion of respondents who associate a school with the given parameter



Figures are in per cent

#### **Consideration Drivers: Functional Heads** Teaching Methodology 10 Faculty 13 Admission Infrastructure — 18 Eligibility 5 Specialisation Quality of 22 **Placement** Reputation 3 Success of **Placement** Figures are in per cent Functional Heads


Pertorma	nce-	- Fu	nctic	nai	не	aas
IIM-A	Reputation	<b>99</b> Ranking	<b>6</b> Toughest admission exam	Knowledge of subject	O of alumni	Nernational recognition
IIM-B	82	72	72	78	81	91
IIM-C	91	81	100	89	96	92
XLRI	71	52	78	63	74	82
Symbiosis, Pune	67	64	75	57	64	71
FMS, Delhi	73	57	86	76	52	81
IIM-L	84	72	83	72	86	93
JBIMS, Mumbai	57	42	75	58	46	67
IIM-I	80	60	88	76	80	88
ICFAI, Hyderabad	56	42	73	73	46	54

Figures in per cent are proportion of respondents who associate a school with the given parameter

### Consideration Drivers: **MBA** Aspirants Success of Placement Admission Eligibility 13 15 10 Infrastruc<u>ture</u> 8 23 Reputation 7 Quality of Placement 24 Teaching Methodology Figures are in per cent Performance- MBA Wannabes

<b>Leaching</b> methodology	Counselling	<b>S</b> Excellent teaching <b>G</b> methodology	Student faculty 65 ratio	Good industry exposure
78	79	88	67	79
79	89	77	58	92
74	75	80	60	80
57	58	71	42	58
77	73	87	60	87
72	72	83	50	83
39	57	29	29	43
80	82	86	55	96
36	36	43	29	36
	76 78 79 74 57 77 72 39	76 74 78 79 79 89 74 75 57 58 77 73 72 72 39 57 80 82	76       74       85         78       79       88         79       89       77         74       75       80         57       58       71         77       73       87         72       72       83         39       57       29         80       82       86	76       74       85       59         78       79       88       67         79       89       77       58         74       75       80       60         57       58       71       42         77       73       87       60         72       72       83       50         39       57       29       29         80       82       86       55

Figures in per cent are proportion of respondents who associate a school with the given parameter



Figures in per cent are proportion of respondents who associate a school with the given parameter



# **How The Top 10 Fare On The Eight Parameters**

<b>D</b>				
Pei	rto	rma	nce-	· AII

· circimai			•						
	Repu	tation	Qual	ity of	Facu	culty Specialisation			
		Success	of Place	ement		Teaching Admission			
		Placeme	nt	Infrastr					
		1 Idoonio	""	IIIIIaoti	uoturo	modifod	0108)	Lingibility	
IIM, Ahmedabad	85	90	90	78	86	80	68	44	
IIM, Bangalore	78	79	80	73	80	76	59	53	
IIM, Calcutta	83	84	87	77	85	82	68	50	
VLDI	7.4	00	00	00	70	7.4	00	44	
XLRI	74	80	83	69	79	74	62	41	
Symbiosis, Pune	65	69	66	66	68	67	59	39	
Oyilibiosis, i ulio	UU	00	00	00	00	07	00	00	
FMS, Delhi	74	76	78	72	75	77	64	49	
T MO, DOM	/4	70	70	12	73	- 11	04	73	
IIM, Lucknow	77	79	79	71	78	76	58	52	
Tilvi, Luckilow	11	13	13	/1	70	70	30	JZ	
JBIMS, Mumbai	58	65	59	54	61	56	49	39	
JUINO, Mullibai	30	UJ	33	34	01	JU	43	33	
IIM, Indore	75	77	82	74	84	80	62	44	
mvi, Illuule	73	11	02	74	04	00	02	44	
[CFA], Hyderabad	40	E1	E2	E2	66	EΛ	40	20	
TOTAL, Hyuerabau	49	51	53	53	55	50	48	28	

## Performance- Current MBA

	Repu	tation Success o Placemen	Quali of Place t	ty of ment Infrastru	Facul octure	lty S Teachi Methodo		Admission	
IIM, Ahmedabad	88	91	94	78	85	82	64	42	
IIM, Bangalore	87	88	88	75	82	77	64	67	
IIM, Calcutta	79	78	86	73	82	78	71	55	
XLRI	76	87	83	73	81	74	61	37	
Symbiosis, Pune	71	68	73	72	70	69	70	39	
FMS, Delhi	76	73	77	71	71	70	64	54	
IIM, Lucknow	89	92	92	81	92	85	73	67	
JBIMS, Mumbai	67	72	61	56	72	59	57	39	
IIM, Indore	72	73	71	69	74	71	61	38	
ICFAI, Hyderabad	51	46	50	51	53	47	49	25	

## Performance- MBA Aspirants

	Repu	tation Success Placeme	of Plac	ality of cement Infrast	Facu ructure	Ilty S Teach Method		sation Admission Eligibility	
IIM, Ahmedabad	76	87	85	74	80	76	63	37	
IIM, Bangalore	76	73	79	68	76	78	51	48	
IIM, Calcutta	78	75	75	64	74	79	54	35	
XLRI	69	70	78	68	68	74	67	38	
Symbiosis, Pune	59	71	56	55	61	57	51	29	
FMS, De <b>l</b> hi	79	87	93	3 72	78	77	62	57	
IIM, Lucknow	74	69	69	68	69	72	48	44	
JB <b>I</b> MS, Mumbai	44	50	46	36	48	39	26	36	
IIM, Indore	72	68	77	63	82	80	58	45	
ICFAI, Hyderabad	37	43	46	41	43	36	33	21	

### Performance- HR

	Repu	tation Success of Placement		lity of ement Infrastruc	Facul cture	Teaching Admissio		
IIM, Ahmedabad	93	96	94	83	93	89	80	46
IIM, Bangalore	76	78	68	74	80	74	65	48
IIM, Calcutta	86	85	94	80	88	84	78	54
XLRI	87	92	92	75	90	83	69	56
Symbiosis, Pune	72	82	80	74	80	70	70	55
FMS, Delhi	70	78	69	76	83	81	67	42
IIM, Lucknow	63	69	62	62	67	66	52	43
JBIMS, Mumbai	68	76	71	68	65	68	61	40
IIM, Indore	85	88	93	84	92	90	73	50
ICFAI, Hyderabad	59	75	63	64	67	60	60	35

### Performance- Functional Heads

i Citotillati	CC-	ıun	CLIU	Hai	iica	us			
	Reputati Su Pl	on occess of acement	Quality of Placeme	of nt frastruc		Specia Teaching ethodo <b>l</b> ogy		Admission Eligibility	
IIM, Ahmedabad	81	87	87	79	84	77	66	47	
IIM, Bangalore	82	83	86	77	84	83	61	52	
IIM, Calcutta	91	94	96	86	92	86	69	52	
XLRI	71	80	80	66	78	71	52	39	
Symbiosis, Pune	67	64	59	66	64	71	55	39	
FMS, De <b>l</b> hi	73	76	81	71	76	80	62	43	
IIM, Lucknow	84	83	88	75	82	83	60	52	
JBIMS, Mumbai	57	63	65	56	58	58	49	44	
IIM, Indore	80	84	92	80	89	82	64	44	
ICFAI, Hyderabad	56	54	62	58	58	61	56	38	

## Performance- Young Executives

	Reputat S F	ion uccess of lacement	Quality Placeme	of ent nfrastruc	Faculty ture N	Spe Teachin Nethodolo		on Imission ligibi <b>l</b> ity
IIM, Ahmedabad	86	92	90	75	89	77	71	50
IIM, Bangalore	68	71	73	69	75	69	51	46
IIM, Calcutta	80	87	87	84	90	88	70	52
XLRI	67	71	81	64	76	66	65	35
Symbiosis, Pune	59	61	65	63	65	67	49	35
FMS, De <b>l</b> hi	74	71	74	72	68	82	63	50
IIM, Lucknow	69	76	76	69	77	70	52	50
JBIMS, Mumbai	44	59	47	48	53	50	45	35
IIM, Indore	66	74	76	74	84	76	51	42
ICFAI, Hyderabad	34	32	38	47	51	37	33	15

Figures in per cent are proportion of respondents who associate a school with the given parameter